

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/966,795	09/28/2001	David Michael Lehner	KCC-15,612	3106
35844	7590 04/06/2004		EXAM	INER
PAULEY PETERSEN KINNE & ERICKSON 2800 WEST HIGGINS ROAD			PARADISO, JOHN ROGER	
SUITE 365			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
HOFFMAN E	ESTATES, IL 60195		3721	15

DATE MAILED: 04/06/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		•				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/966,795	LEHNER ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	John R. Paradiso	3721				
The MAILING DATE of this communication	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address				
Period for Reply	DIVIONETTO EVOIDE AL	AONTH/S) FROM				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFI after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by st Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the m earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DN. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a . a reply within the statutory minimum of thi, riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI atute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	<u> 3 December 2003</u> .					
,=	☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☐ This action is non-final.					
•	-					
closed in accordance with the practice und	er <i>Ex par</i> te Quayle, 1935 C.[D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-35 is/aré pending in the applicat	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-35</u> is/aré pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-35</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	nd/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ a						
Applicant may not request that any objection to						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the cor						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action of form F10-192.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docum 2. Certified copies of the priority docum 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International But * See the attached detailed Office action for a	nents have been received. The sents have been received in Appropriate the sent of the sent	Application No received in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)						
1) D Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	· - -	Summary (PTO-413)				
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	′	s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152) 				

Application/Control Number: 09/966,795

Art Unit: 3721

DETAILED ACTION

Terminal Disclaimer

1. The terminal disclaimer filed on 12/23/20033 disclaiming the terminal portion of any patent granted on this application which would extend beyond the expiration date of US Application 09/966,795 has been reviewed and is accepted. The terminal disclaimer has been recorded.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the admitted prior art in view of Japanese Patent 9-131,364 and WESTPHAL ET AL.

The admitted prior art suggested that it was known at the time the invention was made to form a disposable undergarment with refastenable side seam fasteners therein and additionally suggested that it was desirable to tuck and fold such so formed articles along the side seams for packaging purposes. The admitted prior art additionally suggested that it was known at the time the invention was made to incorporate tucking in folding mechanisms for side seams of such so formed undergarments wherein such known mechanisms included mechanical blades which rotate or travel with the product machine direction and push the sides in from each side of a

Application/Control Number: 09/966,795

Art Unit: 3721

conveyor as the pant-like articles are being conveyed to a stacking and accumulating device. The admitted prior art additionally suggested that instead of these mechanical rotated blades one skilled in the art was well aware of the use of air bars to tuck the side seams inward as the product was being conveyed. The admitted prior art additionally suggested that it was known to employ vacuum while conveying the products, however the amount of vacuum applied was not sufficient to retain the sides of the product and creasing in undesirable locals resulted in the finished assembly. The admitted prior art failed to expressly suggest that one knew to employ a vacuum conveyor in combination with the tucking and folding of the side seams of the pant-like articles.

However, in the art of packaging pant-like articles, as suggested by Japanese Patent :364, it was known at the time the invention was made to tuck and fold the side seams of the undergarment as the same was being conveyed along a conveyor. Applicant is specifically referred to Figures 1 and 2 where the undergarment was opened up along the conveyance path, the side seams were tucked and folded, and the undergarment was compressed after tucking to complete the folding operation. The reference clearly suggested that as the undergarments were fed along the conveyor 10, it was subjected to side seam tucking and folding with members 7 acting upon the undergarment on opposite sides of the conveyor. The reference additionally suggested that those skilled in the art would have compressed the assembled undergarment with the sides tucked therein as suggested where the undergarment passes rollers 16 and 11 of the device. The reference failed to make mention of the use of vacuum on the conveyors as the undergarments were fed along the path of the folders for retaining the undergarment in an open disposition proper for tucking and folding followed by conveyance to the compression operation.

Application/Control Number: 09/966,795

Art Unit: 3721

The reference to WESTPHAL ET AL suggested that those skilled in the art at the time the invention was made would have incorporated vacuum conveyors for feeding an undergarment along a path prior to the tucking and folding of the side seams in the manufacture of an article where the undergarment was opened up with the use of the vacuum conveyors. As the vacuum conveyors diverged, the undergarment was opened up and prepared to folding and tucking of the side seams prior to packaging the undergarments. The reference suggested that those skilled in the art would have known to employ a vacuum conveyor in conjunction with the tucking and folding of the side portions of the undergarment. The amount of vacuum applied can be variable or constant and one skilled in the art would have determined through routine experimentation the desired amounts of vacuum necessary to retain the undergarments during transport, tucking, and folding. Applicant is specifically referred to Figures 1 and 9, and column 5, lines 39-68.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ a vacuum conveyor in Japanese Patent 9-131,364 to facilitate proper opening up and compaction as well as feeding of the undergarments as WESTPHAL ET AL clearly suggested such would have been useful in a tucking a folding arrangement for feeding the undergarment and wherein the undergarments being treated included those having refastenable side fasteners associated with the side seams as admitted was known by applicant's admitted prior art.

With regard to the various dependent claims, the applicant is advised that the use of mechanical blades which were rotated during the tucking operation was admitted as known in the art by applicant. Likewise, the use of air to tuck the side seams inward was additionally admitted

Page 5

Application/Control Number: 09/966,795

Art Unit: 3721

as known by applicant. Use of such known means would have been understood to have been a functionally equivalent alternate expedient to the tucking mechanisms suggested by Japanese Patent 9-131,364. Additionally, the amount of vacuum applied would have been the conventional amounts employed in the process as modified by WESTPHAL ET AL Note that applicant has admitted that 15 inches of water was known. Additionally, the feeding of the undergarments to an accumulation device which includes stacker fingers was known in the art and such is taken as conventional stacking and accumulating devices. The use of the process on an undergarment which was a training pant or a swim pant would have been within the purview of the ordinary artisan as both such pant-like articles were known to have been tucked and folded along the sides in the packaging of the same.

Conclusion

4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to John Paradiso. The examiner can normally be reached Monday-Friday, 9:30 p.m. – 6:00 p.m. (ET).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rinaldi Rada, can be reached at the number listed below.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the 3700 Technology Center Receptionist.

Examiner John Paradiso: (703) 308-2825

April 5, 2004

Additional Phone Numbers:

 Supervisor Rinaldi Rada:
 (703) 308-2187

 TC 3700 Receptionist:
 (703) 308-1148

 Customer Service:
 (703) 306-5648

 Fax (directly to Examiner)
 (703) 746-3253

 Fax (Official):
 (703) 872-9306